Comment Set D.15: Richard and Guyla Clayton



Scoping Comments

Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: August 31, 2006	
Name*: Richard - Guyla Clayton SEP 05 2006	
Affiliation (if any):*	
Address: * 9000 W. Lost Valley Ranch Rd.	
City, State, Zip Code:* Leona Valley, CA 93551	
Telephone Number:* <u>661 · 270 · 1788</u>	
Email: * guylaclayton@hughes.net	
We were not given adequate notice.	D.15-1
I'm quite concerned that the usung	I
PUBLIC SAFETY Was not addressed in the	
DEIR. This is a narrow steep Carryon and	D.15-2
with peveral 220' & towers - we want get	D.13 2
aerial fire suppression or derial medial	
evacuation when needed.	<u> </u>
The well water point was not addressed,	D.15-3
The damage to the already pragate	i
duit road when the rowins came + chrode	D 15-4
purther because of the pads for the towers,	D.13
Then we low our ingress + egress.	
Januar Bankara Adam	
We also feel we need to extend the Sept. 18	
deadline by 30 days so others not aware can	_
respord:	
*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.	

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com.

Response to Comment Set D.15: Richard and Guyla Clayton

- D.15-1 Please see General Response GR-5 regarding noticing procedures and review period.
- D.15-2 An analysis of potential Public Health and Safety issues associated with the proposed Project and alternatives is provided in Section C.6 of the Draft EIR/EIS. Your concerns regarding increased fire and medical risks associated with aerial access in your area will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.
- D.15-3 The supply and quality of water resources, including groundwater, would not be significantly affected by the proposed Project or an alternative. As discussed in Section C.8 (Hydrology and Water Quality) of the Draft EIR/EIS, implementation of the proposed Project or an alternative is not expected to significantly interfere with groundwater supply and recharge (Criterion HYD2). In addition, best management practices used during construction and operation would protect the quality of groundwater resources. If the proposed Project or an alternative is approved, the required implementation of mitigation measures during construction and operation would ensure protection of surface water and groundwater quality and supply.
- D.15-4 The Project includes a Construction Transportation Plan which would limit construction-related traffic as much as possible. Also, if damage to roads occurs during construction, SCE will be responsible for ensuring repairs are implemented within two months of completion of construction activities at the affected location. Roads disturbed by construction activities or construction vehicles shall be properly restored to ensure long-term protection of road surfaces.